

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,466	09/01/2004	Yoshimi Nishii	MAT-8598US	8942
23122 7550 65/14/2008 RATINEPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980		EXAMINER		
		ABDUL-ALI, OMAR R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/506,466 NISHII ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit OMAR ABDUL-ALI 2178 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-10, 12-23, and 25-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Citatement(s) (PTC/95/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) I Actine of Informal Patert Application 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2178

#### DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed February 25, 2008. Amended Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-23, and 25-29 are pending and have been considered below.

 The prior art rejections have been withdrawn as necessitated by applicant's Amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-17, 19-23, and 25-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gottfurcht et al.</u> (US 7,020,845) in view of <u>Schirris</u> (US 2002/0193986) and further in view of <u>Dyer</u> (US 6,839,742).
- Claims 1, 10, and 17: Gottfurcht discloses a method and system of outputting data, comprising:
- a. a selection instruction reception step for receiving a button selection instruction for selecting one button out of a plurality of buttons that are displayed on an input screen, each of the buttons responsive to selection, perform a function of providing

Application/Control Number: 10/506,466

Art Unit: 2178

identical data, the identical data having different attribute values for each of the buttons (column 8, lines 4-42). Gottfurcht discloses computer system, which includes a processor coupled to various memory units. It is typical for memory units to be partitioned to store various types of information, which includes selection information, plural pairs of data, etc. It is inherent that there would be a section for receiving transmission instruction commands and data obtaining sections for obtaining the data from the data memory. The interface supports interaction and selection with mice or other pointer devices as a variation of using a keypad. Figure 2b discloses two buttons, My AOL and AOL Home, which both link to an AOL site with different attributes. My AOL is a personalized AOL Homepage, while AOL Home is the basic homepage. Each of the links initiate a transfer to pages that provide different functionality to the user.

Gottfurcht records the selection in memory, but does not explicitly disclose translating the identical data related to the selected button. Schirris discloses a similar system for outputting data that further discloses translating hyperlinks and words (Figure 9) by selecting graphical user interface radio buttons. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to translate the components of the interface of Gottfurcht in order to provide the same attributes translated in different languages. One would have been motivated to translate the identical data in order to provide the invention to users that speak different languages. After translating the data to another language, the data output based on the attribute value would be a translated version of the identical data. In this case, the AOL Home and My AOL sites would be translated to another language.

Art Unit: 2178

Gottfurcht and Schirris disclose a method and system for outputting data, and Gottfurcht further discloses filtering the translated data based on attribute values by filtering the results of a selection instruction, introducing a new page based on the selection by the user (column 8, lines 44-67). Multiple categories are displayed and each selection of a category filters the results to specific elements contained within a selected category. Gottfurcht does not explicitly disclose the selection of the button of the plurality of buttons translates and filters the identical data. Dver discloses a similar method that further discloses selectable links that translate a web page to the language based on which region selected (Figure 2C/Figure 2D). The user navigates to the web site from the selectable links, which is viewed as a filtering step when combined with the teaching of Gottfurcht. The pages are based on different attribute values in the sense that they are translated versions of the obtained data, which provide different versions of the obtained data associated with a respectfully different button (link). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to translate and filter identical data based on a selection of a button of the plurality of buttons in Gottfurcht. One would have been motivated to translate and filter identical data in order to allow users of different language backgrounds to access the data.

Claim 2: <u>Gottfurcht</u> and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claim 1 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/506,466

Art Unit: 2178

 a. the data outputting step outputs data that is a homepage on an Internet (column 2, lines 20-24).

Claims 4 and 25: Gottfurcht, Dyer, and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 1 and 2 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes data to be output by changing a place of outputting data based on the selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 5 and 26: Gottfurcht, Dver, and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claims 1 and 2 above, and Gottfurcht further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes data to be output by providing the data with a given process based on the selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 6, 13, and 20: <u>Gottfurcht</u>, <u>Dver</u>, and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claims 5, 10, and 17 above, and <u>Schirris</u> further discloses the plurality of functions includes translation of a language (Figure 9). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the data by performing a language conversion. One would have been motivated to change the data by performing a language conversion in order to allow users of multiple language backgrounds to use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,466

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 7, 8, 14, 15, 21, and 22: <u>Gottfurcht, Dyer</u>, and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claims 5, 10, and 17 above, but neither reference explicitly discloses the plurality of functions includes is conversion of a kanji letter or a hiragana letter. However, Schirris discloses support for Chinese and Japanese languages. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the data by performing a language conversion. One would have been motivated to change the data by performing a language conversion in order to allow users of multiple language backgrounds to use the invention.

Claims 9, 16, 23, and 27: <u>Gottfurcht</u>, <u>Dver</u>, and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claims 1, 10, 17, and 2 above, and <u>Gottfurcht</u> further discloses:

a. the data outputting step changes a display by selecting and outputting one display data out of a plurality of display data, which can form the display, based on the selection instruction information recorded in the selection instruction information recording step (column 8, lines 44-62).

Claims 12 and 19: <u>Gottfurcht</u>, <u>Dver</u>, and <u>Schirris</u> disclose a method and system as in Claims 10 and 17 above, and <u>Gottfurcht</u> further discloses:

 a. the plurality of functions includes rearrangement of data (column 8, lines 44-62).

Art Unit: 2178

 Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gottfurcht et al.</u> (US 7,020,845) in view of <u>Schirris</u> (US 2002/0193986) further in view of Dyer (US 6,839,742) and further in view of Maddalozzo et al. (US 6,633,316).

Claim 27: Gottfurcht, Dyer, and Schirris disclose a method and system as in Claim 1 above, however none of the references explicitly disclose the step of recording the selection instruction information includes establishing an attribute value table for each respective button of the plurality of buttons that correlates video, audio/and or textual information of the identical data to the respective button for display. Maddalozzo discloses a similar method that further discloses recording link selection information in a table that correlates an identifier (textual information) and address information in the table (column 11, lines 13-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to establish an attribute value table for each respective button of the plurality of buttons that correlates video, audio/and or textual information of the identical data to the respective button for display. One would have been motivated to include this limitation in order to increase data retrieval efficiency.

Claim 28: Gottfurcht, Dyer, Schirris and Maddalozzo disclose a method and system as in Claim 28 above, and Maddalozzo further discloses the filtering of the translated data includes correlating in a respective attribute table less than all of the video, audio and/or textual information associated with the identical data for display (column 11, lines 13-

37). One would have been motivated to include this limitation in <u>Gottfurcht</u> in order to increase data retrieval efficiency.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-23, and 25-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art.

[[See, MPEP 2123]]

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMAR ABDUL-ALI whose telephone number is (571)270-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri(Alternate Fridays Off) 8:30 - 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAA 5/12/2008 /Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178